

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,818	01/31/2005	Karl Lubitz .	4001-1197	4863
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 05/07/2007 OMPSON		EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23	BRD STREET	BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
	2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE '	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/522,818	LUBITZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	÷ -	Mark Budd	2834			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment	• •	<b></b>				
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/522,818

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-10 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (a) as being anticipated by Cotton(654). Looking at, for example, figure 14B, Cotton teaches a piezoelectric actuator comprising, at least one piezoelectric element #419 which is provided with an appropriate electrode on each surface, at least one prestress device for introduction of force into a volume of the piezoelectric layer by means of at least one force introduction surface #454, the force introduction surface is smaller than the surface area of the piezoelectric layer and the volume is a partial volume of the piezoelectric layer (force is not introduced into the volume of piezoelectric material at the extreme upper edge at the end periphery). The edges of #440 that contact the upper surface of the piezoelectric element act as knife edges (point-like or stripes) that introduce force into the upper surface of the piezoelectric element near its ends. The shaft #454 is in the shape of a cylinder which introduces force on to the lower surface of the piezoelectric element at its center, offset from the upper force introduction surfaces. Prestress is provided by spring #456. Note that figure 18 teaches that multiple piezoelectric layers can be used. Regarding claims 15-18 it is noted that the method of manufacturing is not germane to the patentability of the article claimed. The patentability of a device is determined on its own merits and not on the method by which it is made. Thus, the method of manufacturing "limitations" in these claims have not been given patentable consideration. It is noted that if these claims were written as actual method claims, rather than being dependent from a device claim, that restriction would be required between the two inventive groups.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Cotton(654). As described above, Cotton teaches the basic structure of the piezoelectric actuator. Cotton does not explicitly teach the claimed thickness for the piezoelectric element. However, it has long been held that optimization of a known device for a particular

Application/Control Number: 10/522,818

Art Unit: 2834

application is within the skill expected of the routineer. Thus to select specific dimentions for the structure of Cotton would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. Essentially, applicant argues that Cotton is similar to the prior art described in applicants and specification and therefore the claims to find over Cotton because they had been drafted to the patentable over the admitted prior art. However, applicant does not respond to the specific explanation given in the rejection as to how the structure of Cotton reads directly on the structure defined by applicant's claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/522,818

Art Unit: 2834

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Budd whose telephone number is 571-272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ^ Schuberg, can be reached on 571-272-20 44. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834